

SUSMAN GODFREY  
NEAL S. MANNE, ESQ.  
(*pro hac vice*)  
nmanne@susmangodfrey.com  
JOSEPH S. GRINSTEIN, ESQ.  
(*pro hac vice*)  
jgrinstein@susmangodfrey.com  
MEGAN E. GRIFFITH, ESQ.  
(*pro hac vice*)  
mgriffth@susmangodfrey.com  
1000 Louisiana, Suite 5100  
Houston, Texas 77002  
Telephone: (713) 651-9366

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS  
PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. (11563)  
pre@cwlawlv.com  
710 South Seventh Street, Suite A  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Telephone: (702) 382-5222  
Facsimile: (702) 382-0540

*Attorneys for Defendants  
Light & Wonder, Inc.  
and LNW Gaming, Inc.,*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

EVOLUTION MALTA LIMITED,  
EVOLUTION GAMING MALTA  
LIMITED, EVOLUTION GAMING  
LIMITED, SIA EVOLUTION LATVIA,  
and UPLAY 1

Plaintiffs,

vs.

LIGHT & WONDER, INC. f/k/a  
SCIENTIFIC GAMES CORP. and LNW  
GAMING, INC. f/k/a SG GAMING, INC.,

Defendants,

JONES DAY  
RYAN K. WALSH, ESQ.  
(*pro hac vice*)  
rkwalsh@jonesday.com  
1221 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 400  
Atlanta, Georgia 30361  
Telephone: (404) 581-8487  
Facsimile: (404) 581-8330

JONES DAY  
JENNIFER D. BENNETT, ESQ.  
(*pro hac vice*)  
jdbennett@jonesday.com  
555 California Street, 26th Floor  
San Francisco, California 94104  
Telephone: (415) 626-3939  
Facsimile: (415) 875-5700

CASE NO.: 2:24-cv-00993-CDS-NJK

**ORDER ENLARGING THE PAGE  
LIMITS FOR BRIEFING RELATED TO  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS  
SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED between Plaintiffs Evolution Malta Limited, Evolution Gaming Malta Limited, Evolution Gaming Limited, SIA Evolution Latvia, and Uplay1 (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendants Light & Wonder, Inc. and LNW Gaming, Inc. (“Defendants”) (collectively, the “Parties”), by and through their undersigned counsel of record, that good cause exists under Local Rule 7-3(c) to enlarge the page limits on the briefing related to Defendants’ forthcoming Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs’ Second Amended Complaint (“Motion”). Specifically, the Parties agree that the page limits for Defendants’ Motion and Plaintiffs’ Opposition shall be enlarged by 12 pages for a total of 36 pages. Additionally, the Parties agree that the page limits for Defendants’ Reply shall be enlarged by 3 pages for a total of 15 pages.

Given the nature of Plaintiffs’ claims and the number of arguments that will be at issue in Defendants’ Motion, the complex nature of this case supports extending the page limit. *See Las Vegas Dev. Grp., LLC, v. Steven et al.*, No. 2:15-cv-01128-RCJ-CWH, 2016 WL 3381222, at \*6 (D. Nev. June 14, 2016) (granting motion for leave to exceed page limit “because of the complex nature” of the claims.). Indeed, the Parties’ briefing on Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs’ First Amended Complaint used every line of the presumptive page limits under Local Rule 7-3(b), ECF Nos. 114, 117, 119, and Plaintiffs’ 88-page Second Amended Complaint advances additional claims and allegations related to a new set of patents. Thus, given the breadth of the allegations and claims in Plaintiffs’ Second Amended Complaint, 24 pages is not sufficient for the Parties to fully and fairly brief Defendants’ forthcoming Motion. *See Werbicky v. Green Tree Servicing, LLC*, No. 2:12-cv-01567-JAD, 2015 WL 18006857, at \*5 (D. Nev. April 21, 2015) (granting motion for leave to file an oversized brief “in the interest of ensuring that the parties have a full and fair opportunity to present their proper arguments.”).

Finally, as evidenced by the briefing on Defendants’ prior motions to dismiss in this action, the Parties respectfully submit that their briefing on Defendants’ forthcoming Motion will not waste judicial resources through inclusion of unwarranted footnotes, excessive block quotations, or repetitive arguments. *See Perez v. Nevada*, No. 2:14-cv-02087-APG-BNW, 2019 WL 4757789, at \*10-11 (D. Nev. Sept. 30, 2019). Rather, the Parties will only include facts and analysis that are

**CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS**  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
710 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET, SUITE A, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101  
Phone: 702.382.5222 • Fax: 702.382.0540  
www.campbellandwilliams.com

relevant and necessary for the Court's adjudication of Defendants' Motion and, consistent with LR 7-3(c), the motion will include a Table of Contents and Table of Authorities.

For all the foregoing reasons, the Parties respectfully submit that good cause exists to enlarge the page limits on Defendants' Motion and Plaintiffs' Opposition by 12 pages for a total of 36 pages, and to enlarge the page limits on Defendants' Reply by 3 pages for a total of 15 pages.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED this 9th day of July, 2025

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS

By /s/ Philip R. Erwin  
PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. (11563)  
710 South Seventh Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

SUSMAN GODFREY  
NEAL S. MANNE, ESQ.  
(*pro hac vice*)  
JOSEPH S. GRINSTEIN, ESQ.  
(*pro hac vice*)  
MEGAN E. GRIFFITH, ESQ.  
(*pro hac vice*)

JONES DAY

HAROLD K. GORDON, ESQ.  
(*pro hac vice*)  
RYAN K. WALSH, ESQ.  
(*pro hac vice*)  
JENNIFER D. BENNETT, ESQ.  
(*pro hac vice*)  
RANDALL E. KAY, ESQ.  
(*pro hac vice*)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 9th day of July, 2025

SPENCER FANE LLP

By /s/ Jason D. Smith  
NICHOLAS J. SANTORO, ESQ. (532)  
JASON D. SMITH, ESQ. (9691)  
300 South Fourth Street, Suite 1600  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP  
CHING-LEE FUKUDA, ESQ.  
(*pro hac vice*)  
SHARON LEE, ESQ.  
(*pro hac vice*)

  
Nancy J. Koppe

United States Magistrate Judge

DATED: July 10, 2025